

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/720,702	11/24/2003	Jacques P. Dumas	5052D1	5379
35969 7590 07/26/2007 JEFFREY M. GREENMAN BAYER PHARMACEUTICALS CORPORATION			EXAMINER	
			O SULLIVAN, PETER G	
400 MORGAN LANE WEST HAVEN, CT 06516		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
·			1621	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/26/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/720,702	DUMAS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Peter G. O'Sullivan	1621			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are provided by the communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a root will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ute, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  reply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15	May 2007.				
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ Th	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	•	• •			
closed in accordance with the practice under	r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	0. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest signal is and signal is are allowed.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> are subject to restriction and/o	rawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ad	ccepted or b) $\square$ objected to	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	•	` '			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		` ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document copies of the priority document copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the cer	nts have been received. nts have been received in A iority documents have been	pplication No			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	st of the certified copies not	received.			
	•	·			
Attachment(s)		•			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date			
2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		formal Patent Application			

Page 2

In response to applicants' remarks filed 15 May 2007 in which they request an altered restriction requirement, the following new restriction requirement is set forth.

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-3, 6-8, 11-13 and 16 (all in part), drawn to compounds wherein Z is nitrogen and R1 and R2 form a bridged substituent with heteroatoms in the second ring thus formed, classified in class 544, subclass 236+.
- II. Claims 1-3, 6-8, 11-13 and 16 (all in part), drawn to compounds wherein Z is nitrogen and R1 and R2 form a bridged substituent without heteroatoms in the second ring thus formed, classified in class 544, subclass 235+.
- III. Claims 6-8 and 11-13 (all in part), drawn to compounds wherein Z is nitrogen and R1 and R2 do not form a bridge, classified in class 544, subclass 238+.
- IV. Claims 1-3, 6-8, 11-13 and 16 (all in part), drawn to compounds wherein Z is carbon and R1 and R2 form a bridged substituent with heteroatoms in the second ring thus formed, classified in class 546, subclass 113+.
- V. Claims 1-3, 6-8, 11-13 and 16 (all in part), drawn to compounds wherein Z is carbon and R1 and R2 form a bridged substituent without heteroatoms in the second ring thus formed, classified in class 546, subclass 139+.
- VI. Claims 6-8 and 11-13 (all in part), drawn to compounds wherein Z is carbon and R1 and R2 do not form a bridge, classified in class 546, subclass 186+.

Application/Control Number: 10/720,702

Art Unit: 1621

VII. Claims 4, 5, 9, 10, 14 and 15, drawn to methods of treating tumors, classified in class 514, subclass 247+.

- VIII. Claims 4, 5, 9, 10, 14 and 15, drawn to methods of treating retinopathy or macular degeneration, classified in class 514, subclass 247+.
- IX. Claims 4, 5, 9, 10, 14 and 15, drawn to methods of treating arthritis, classified in class 514, subclass 247+.
- X. Claims 4, 5, 9, 10, 14 and 15, drawn to methods of bullous disorders, classified in class 514, subclass 247+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I-VI and VII-X are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case applicants claim multiple methods of using and additionally materially different products could be used for treating the disease of groups VII – X such as, respectively, fluoro-uracil compounds, laser therapy, aspirin, and antibiotics. Groups I-VI are distinct because the compounds are so structurally disparate a reference anticipating one would not necessarily render the other obvious. Additionally, groups VII-X are disctint inasmuch as a method of treating one disease would not necessary render as obvious the treatment of the other diseases.

Application/Control Number: 10/720,702

Art Unit: 1621

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicants are further required to elect a single disclosed species, i.e a
single disclosed compound if one of groups I-VI are elected or a single disclosed
method wherein a single compound and disease state to be treated if one of
groups VII-X is elected.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions

Application/Control Number: 10/720,702 Page 5

Art Unit: 1621

unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Peter G. O'Sullivan at telephone number (571)272-0642.

PETER O'SULLIVAN PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200